1 2	STEVEN G. KALAR Federal Public Defender NED SMOCK	
3	Assistant Federal Public Defender 555 - 12th Street, Suite 650	
4	Oakland, CA 94607-3627 Telephone: (510) 637-3500	DEC 1 0 2012
5	Counsel for Defendant KAYUMY	PROMAIND MAINTENING NOATHELIST THE TOTAL POWERS OA LOAD
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	OAKLAND DIVISION	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-12-70863 MAG
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATED REQUEST TO CONTINUE
12	v.) HEARING DATE TO JANUARY 23, 2013) AND TO EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE
13) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
14	FAZIL AHMAD KAYUMY,) Hearing Date: December 21, 2012) Time: 9:30 a.m.
15	Defendant.))
16		
17	The above-captioned matter is set on December 21, 2012 before this Court for a status	
18	hearing. The parties request that this Court continue the hearing to January 23, 2013 at 9:30 a.m.	
19	and that the Court exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act between December 21, 2012 and	
20	January 23, 2013.	
21	The misdemeanor charges in this case are out of the Middle District of Georgia. The	
22	defense has been discussing Rule 20 resolution of this matter with a prosecutor in that District.	
23	The prosecutor in the Middle District of Georgia has proposed the terms of a plea agreement that	
24	would be acceptable to their office. Additional time is needed for the assigned Assistant United	
25	States Attorney in this District to obtain approval for a plea with those terms in this District	
26	pursuant to Rule 20 and to draft a plea agreement. Further, more time is needed by defense	
	Stip. Req. To Continue Hearing Date and to Exclude Time, No. CR 12-70863 MAG	

1 counsel for review of discovery and for discussing possible resolution with the defendant once 2 the offer is prepared. The parties agree the ends of justice served by granting the continuance 3 outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, the parties 4 further stipulate and request that the Court exclude time between December 21, 2012 and January 5 23, 2013 under the Speedy Trial Act for effective preparation of counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 6 §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). 7 8 DATED: December 17, 2012 9 10 /s/ Andrew Huang /s/ Ned Smock **ANDREW HUANG** 11 NED SMOCK Assistant United States Attorney Assistant Federal Public Defender Counsel for United States Counsel for Fazil Kayumy 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Case 4:12-mj-70863-MRGD Document 14 Filed 12/19/12 Page 2 of 4

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

No. CR-12-70863 MAG

(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING Plaintiff, v. FAZIL AHMAD KAYUMY,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

STIPULATED REQUEST TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE TO JANUARY 23, 2013 AND TO EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT December 21, 2012 Date: Defendant. 9:30 a.m. Time:

The parties jointly requested that the hearing in this matter be continued from December 21, 2012 to January 23, 2013, and that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act between December 21, 2012 and January 23, 2013 to allow for the effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and continuity of defense counsel. Defense counsel needs additional time to review that discovery and discuss it with the defendant, and perform necessary legal research and investigation. The parties agree the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. For these stated reasons, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. Good cause appearing therefor, and pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv),

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status hearing in this matter is continued from December 21, 2012 to January 23, 2013 at 9:30 a.m., and that time between December 21, 2012

1	and January 23, 2013 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act to allow for the effective
2	preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
3	
4	DATED: 12/19/12 LAUGH WILLIAM HON-KANDIS WESTMORE
5	United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Case 4:12-mj-70863-MRGD Document 14 Filed 12/19/12 Page 4 of 4